

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/507,023	03/14/2005	Edgar Ivo Maria van der Heijden	903-117 PCT/US	7696
23869 7590 11/28/2007 HOFFMANN & BARON, LLP			EXAMINER	
6900 JERICHO	TURNPIKE		NGO, LIEN M	
SYOSSET, NY 11791			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3754	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/28/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		CP			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary	10/507,023	VAN DER HEIJDEN, EDGAR IVO MARIA			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	LIEN TM NGO	3754			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
 Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>20 September 2007</u>. This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i>, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. 					
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 17-51 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) 17-26 is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 35-37, 39-43, 45-47 and 51 is/are re 7) ☐ Claim(s) 27-34,38,44 and 48-50 is/are objected 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration. jected. d to.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to by the I drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive a (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate			

Application/Control Number: 10/507,023 Page 2

Art Unit: 3754

DETAILED ACTION

Double Patenting

- 1. Claims 27 and 34 are objected to under 37 CFR 1.75 as being a substantial duplicate of claim 17. When two claims in an application are duplicates or else are so close in content that they both cover the same thing, despite a slight difference in wording, it is proper after allowing one claim to object to the other as being a substantial duplicate of the allowed claim. See MPEP § 706.03(k).
- 2. Claim 51 is objected to under 37 CFR 1.75 as being a substantial duplicate of claim 35. When two claims in an application are duplicates or else are so close in content that they both cover the same thing, despite a slight difference in wording, it is proper after allowing one claim to object to the other as being a substantial duplicate of the allowed claim. See MPEP § 706.03(k).

Claim Objections

3. Claims 17 and 35 are objected to because of the following informalities:

In claim 17, line 8, and in claim 35, line 8, "second coupling means" should be -second coupling members--.

Appropriate correction is required. \

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/507,023 Page 3

Art Unit: 3754

5. Claims 40, 45-50 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 40, it cannot be determined what is required by securing collars.

Claim 45 re-introduces "a reservoir holder" in claim 35. This is a double inclusion.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

6. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 7. Claims 35 -37, 39-43, 45-47 and 51 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Feldmann (EP 443519). Feldmann discloses, in figs. 1-3, a combination of a first container 24 and a second container 44 to form a dispensing unit, which first and second containers each have a reservoir for a liquid substance and a pump 68, which is secured to the reservoir, can be actuated by hand and has a dispensing opening and a pump-actuating button 10, 30 for dispensing substance from the reservoir, which combination also comprises coupling means 20, 18, 38, 40, 72, 74, 56, 82, 84 for coupling together the first and second containers in a position next to one another, the coupling means comprising one or more first coupling members, which are each arranged on the first container, and one or more second coupling members, which are each arranged on the second container and which can each be directly coupled to an

associated first coupling member on the first container, characterised in that a first coupling member 20, 18 and an associated second coupling member 38, 40 are each arranged fixedly on the pump-actuating button of the associated container in order to create a stable coupling between the pump-actuating buttons 10, 30 of the two containers and that the dispensing unit further comprises a reservoir holder 52, 62 which is designed to at least partially hold the reservoir of the first container and the reservoir of the second container. The coupling means are snaps fastening; therefore, they form an optionally releasable click-fit connection to one another.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 8. Claims 17-26 allowed.
- 9. Claims 28-33, 38, 44 and 48-50 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Response to Arguments

- 10. Applicant's arguments filed 9/7/07 regarding to claims 35 -37, 39-43, 45-47 and 51 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive as pointed out in the rejection above.
- 11. In response to applicant's argument that the references fail to show certain features of applicant's invention, it is noted that the features upon which applicant relies (i.e., Claim 35 provides a solution for the above disadvantages by providing coupling means on the pump actuating buttons, so that the pump actuating buttons of both containers can be

Application/Control Number: 10/507,023

Art Unit: 3754

actuated simultaneously, and providing a reservoir holding device to receive and hold the two reservoirs, thereby providing sufficient coupling strength between the two containers, in particular the reservoirs so that liquid can easily be dispensed therefrom. When such reservoir holder is used, this reservoir holder can easily be designed to hold any reservoir type, in particular conventional reservoir types. As a result, no separately specially designed reservoirs are required for the dispensing unit of the present invention as claimed in claim 35.) are not recited in the rejected claim(s). Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See *In re Van Geuns*, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993).

12. The indicated allowability of claims 27 and 34 are withdrawn as being a substantial duplicate of claim 17.

Conclusion

13. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 10/507,023 Page 6

Art Unit: 3754

14. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to LIEN TM NGO whose telephone number is 571-272-4545. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 8:30 AM -6:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, KEVIN SHAVER can be reached on 571-272-4720. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

LIEN TM NGO Primary Examiner Art Unit 3754

~~~~

November 25, 2007